OPTION E1: PART A. INVENTORY OF EDUCATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS INDICATORS

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	Have formal	Where are these learning	Other than GPA, what	Who interprets the	What changes have been	Date of most
Department/Program	learning	outcomes published?	data/evidence is used to	evidence? What is	made as a result of using the	recent program
Name	outcomes	(please specify)	determine that graduates	the process?	data/evidence?	review (for
	been	Include URLs where	have achieved the stated	(e.g. annually by the		general
	developed?	appropriate.	outcomes for the degree?	curriculum		education and
			(e.g., capstone course,	committee)		each degree
			portfolio review, licensure			program)
			examination)			
	Yes. They	Records of the learning	1. Written qualifying	1. Qualifying	Guidelines provided to	This program is
	are part of a	outcomes, including	exam for all students	exams are	students include	reviewed by the
Pharmacology/Accelerated	new set of	qualifying exam results,	taken near the end of	evaluated by	specific target dates for	Pharmacology
Master's Program	guidelines	course grades and thesis	their studies.	each of the	attaining course goals,	Faculty
	provided to	committee reports, are	2. Core curriculum	Pharmacology	taking required courses,	annually.
	students when	maintained by the	(required	faculty	maintaining the	
	they start the	Program Director.	pharmacology	providing	required 3.0 GPA and	
	program.		courses) 3. An overall GPA of	questions. 2. The Director	for ensuring that the student take elective	
			3.0 or better is	works with each	courses most	
				student		
			required. 4. Scheduled thesis	individually at	appropriate for their long-term career goals.	
			committee reports of	the start of their	2. Guidelines provided to	
			satisfactory progress	first semester to	students include	
			for students on the	map out their	specific target dates for	
			thesis track.	course schedule	meeting research goals,	
			5. A grade of	for the entire	including requirements	
			satisfactory for each	degree program.	regarding committee	
			semester of thesis	This ensures that	meeting frequency.	
			research credits	all students take	3. The Thesis Committee	
			(provided by	the required	Report form is updated	
			scientific mentor).	courses and	regularly based on	
			6. Successful thesis	obtain the	feedback from students	
			defense for students	required credits.	and faculty. It is	
			on the thesis track	The Director	distributed to faculty	
				meets	and students prior to	
				individually	each committee	
				with each	meeting. The form asks	

	student at the end of each semester to	for committee comments on specific questions relating to the
	monitor	student's scientific
	progress.	hypothesis,
	3. Thesis	experimental design,
	committee	data quantification and
	meetings are	analysis, student
	evaluated by the	comprehension of the
	mentor and	project, and timeline to
	other committee	completion, The results
	mentors. This is	are reviewed by the
	by discussion	Director, who then
	with the student	addresses any concerns.
	during their	
	research update to the committee	
	followed by a	
	committee only discussion	
	followed by feedback and	
	specific	
	objectives given to the student	
	for the next	
	meeting. Evaluations are	
	reported to the	
	Director in the	
	form of a	
	standardized	
	form provided	
	by the program.	
	To ensure timely	
	tracking of	
	student progress	
	or problems,	
	committee	
	meetings are	
	held at least	
	neiu at ieast	

every 6 months,	
but often every	
2-3 months in	
their final year.	
4. Successful	
thesis defense is	
determined by	
the thesis	
defense	
committee.	